

**EXHIBIT 6**  
**part 3 of 4**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 1030 (12/86)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER: C-43165INMATE NAME: ACORDA

## 1) Use of Confidential Information.

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-116, Disciplinary Report dated \_\_\_\_\_ submitted by \_\_\_\_\_

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDC-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated 11-5-90

## 2) Reliability of Source.

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☐ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☐ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (EXPLAIN) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3) Disclosure of information received.

The information received indicated the following: you were identifiedvia an O-20 line up by a confidential sourceas being involved with the EMEat PBSP

(If additional space needed, attach another sheet.)

4) Type and current location of documentation: (for example: CDC-128-B or 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder) Confidential memo dated 11-5-90 receivedby D. Hawkes, CIO, located in confidential section of G-1P.P. Penick, CCA

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE — Central File; GREEN — Inmate; YELLOW — Institution Use

87-8200



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 1030 (12/86)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER: C43165INMATE NAME: ACUNA, ARCADIO

## 1) Use of Confidential Information

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-115, Disciplinary Report dated \_\_\_\_\_ submitted by \_\_\_\_\_

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDC-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated \_\_\_\_\_

## 2) Reliability of Source

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☐ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☒ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (Explain) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3) Disclosure of information received

This is a debriefing interview with an EME associate, who identified Acuna as an EME associate he knew as "Carlo." The source stated that Acuna may have been involved in the riot that took place at California State Prison Sacramento County on May 25, 1989 (referring to the EME gang-related assault on Black inmates).

(If additional space needed, attach another sheet)

4) Type and current location of documentation, (for example, CDC-128-B of 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder).

Confidential Memorandum dated September 20, 1989 in confidential section of C-File.

D. T. Hawkes, Correctional Counselor II

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

June 2, 2006

DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE to Central File; GREEN to Inmate; YELLOW to Institution Use



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 1030 (12/86)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER

C-43165

INMATE NAME

Holmes

## 1) Use of Confidential Information

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-115, Disciplinary Report dated \_\_\_\_\_

submitted by \_\_\_\_\_

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDO-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated \_\_\_\_\_

1-5-90

## 2) Reliability of Source

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☒ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☒ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (EXPLAIN) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3) Disclosure of Information Received

The information received indicated the following:

during the investigation  
process of an EME associate, identified you  
as an EME associate who may have been  
involved in the 5-24-89 incident at Folsom  
Fac B

If additional space needed, attach another sheet.

## 4) Type and current location of documentation (for example: CDC-128-B of 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder).

Confidential memo dated 9-20-89 authored by  
C. Deane C. located in confidential section of C.F.A.  
P. R. ...

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE — Central File; GREEN — Inmate; YELLOW — Institution Use



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 1030 (12/86)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER:

C43165

INMATE NAME:

ACUNA

## 1) Use of Confidential Information.

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-115, Disciplinary Report dated \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted by \_\_\_\_\_

STAFF NAME, TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

b) CDC-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated \_\_\_\_\_

## 2) Reliability of Source.

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☐ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☒ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☐ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (EXPLAIN) \_\_\_\_\_

## 3) Disclosure of information received.

The information received indicated the following:

Confidential memo dated  
9-20-89 identifies you as an LME associate  
through a Debrief interview of an inmate  
requesting to disassociate himself from  
the ONE PERSON GANG.

(If additional space needed, attach another sheet.)

4) Type and current location of documentation, (for example: CDC-128-B of 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder).

Confidential Folder of your R.F. file

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

M. Reynolds CCI

DATE DISCLOSED

8-21-89

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE — Central File; GREEN — Inmate; YELLOW — Institution Use

06/02/2006



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 103b (12/86)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER

043165

INMATE NAME

ACUNA, ARCADIO

## 1) Use of Confidential Information

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-115, Disciplinary Report dated

submitted by

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDC-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated

## 2) Reliability of Source

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☐ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☒ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (Explain):

## 3) Disclosure of information received

During a debriefing interview, an EME associate identified Acuna as an EME associate he knew as "Gladio." Acuna is referenced on a list as an EME associate. No specific gang activity is identified.

(If additional space needed, attach another sheet.)

4) Type and current location of documentation, (for example: CDC-128-B of 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder).

Confidential Memorandum dated October 11, 1988 in confidential section of C-File.

D. T. Hawkes, Correctional Counselor II

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

June 2, 2006

DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE ☐ Central File; GREEN ☐ Inmate; YELLOW ☐ Institution Use



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 1030 (12/85)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER

C-413165

INMATE NAME

Acuna

## 1) Use of Confidential Information

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC-115, Disciplinary Report dated

submitted by

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDC-114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated

7-5-90

## 2) Reliability of Source

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the source(s) or the security of the institution.  
This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☒ This source has previously provided confidential information which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- c) ☒ More than one source independently provided the same information.
- d) ☒ This source incriminated himself/herself in a criminal activity at the time of providing the information.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided by the source(s) has already proven to be true.
- f) ☐ Other (EXPLAIN)

## 3) Disclosure of Information Received

The information received indicated the following:

during the debriefing process a validated EME detector indicated that an EME associate worked at a prison.

(If additional space needed, attach another sheet)

## 4) Type and current location of documentation, (for example: CDC-128-B at 5/15/88 in the confidential material folder):

Confidential memo dated 10-11-88 authored by J. M. [illegible] located in confidential section of C. File P. [illegible] 2-8-91

STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE — Central File; GREEN — Inmate; YELLOW — Institution Use



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# RULES VIOLATION REPORT

CDC NUMBER C-43165	INMATE'S NAME ACUNA, A	RELEASE/BOARD DATE	INSTITUTION P.B.S.P.	HOUSING NO. A-2300	LOG NO. B-90-107-146
VIOLATED RULE NO(S) 3005(c) Force & Violence		SPECIFIC ACTS Physical Assault		LOCATION B-2 Din. Rm.	DATE & TIME 7-60-90 0800 Hours

CIRCUMSTANCES On July 30, 1990, while providing coverage in Facility B Control Booth #4, during controlled feeding of C section, Building #4, I observed inmate WINSTON, D-51185 walking into the Dining Hall. As he was walking past inmate ACUNA, C-43165, who was seated at the dining table, WINSTON topped, turned to his left, placed ACUNA in a head lock, with his left arm, and made what appeared to be slashing motions with his right hand at ACUNA'S right facial and neck area. At this time, the Control Booth Officers ordered everyone down. All inmates complied, with the exception of ACUNA, who remained seated at the table. As responding staff approached the table, ACUNA, leaning forward, left his table and kicked WINSTON in the upper body and facial area. Both inmates went to the floor wrestling and throwing punches until responding staff separated the combatants, and placed them in mechanical restraints (handcuffs). ACUNA and WINSTON were escorted to the Facility B Clinic, where they were examined by the M. T. A. ACUNA received two (2) three inch (3") lacerations to the right side of his face. WINSTON received a small laceration on his right index finger. WINSTON was re-housed in Administrative Segregation. ACUNA was re-housed in the Institution Infirmary. A search of the area in which the incident occurred produced an inmate manufactured slashing instrument. This weapon consisted of a razor blade with thread wrapped around one end.

REPORTING EMPLOYEE (Typed Name and Signature) B. G. BURLISON	DATE 7-30-90	ASSIGNMENT Fac. B Control Booth	ROOMS 217
REVIEWING SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE D.M. S. [Signature]	DATE 8/7/90	INMATE SEGREGATED HEARING HEARING DATE 7/30/90	
CLASSIFIED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADMINISTRATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SERIOUS	OFFENSE DIVISION D-51185	DATE 8/7/90	CLASSIFIED BY (Typed Name and Signature) D.M. S. [Signature]
COPIES GIVEN INMATE BEFORE HEARING		HEARING REFERRED TO <input type="checkbox"/> HOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHO <input type="checkbox"/> SC <input type="checkbox"/> EC	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CDC 115	BY (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) [Signature]	DATE 8/6/90	TIME 1315	TITLE OF SURVEILLANT [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> INCIDENT REPORT LOG NUMBER	BY (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) [Signature]	DATE 8/6/90	TIME	BY (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) [Signature]

HEARING Inmate ACUNA stated that he was in good health and acknowledged receipt of all reports and evidence and was ready to proceed with the hearing. All time consumed by inmate ACUNA in preparation for the hearing was more than 24 hours prior to the hearing. Correctional Officer T. Higgins was assigned to be the investigating employee. Inmate ACUNA waived the assignment of an Investigative Employee, or any other investigative employee. Inmate ACUNA did not request witnesses present. The charge was read to inmate ACUNA, he pled GUILTY. He declined to make a statement.

FINDINGS: Subject is being found GUILTY of violating Director's Rules, section 3005(c) which reads: ASSAULT ON INMATE WINSTON. The preponderance of the evidence submitted at the hearing SUSTAINES the charge. This evidence includes: CDC-115 dated 07-30-90, describing subject's refusal to comply with staff's orders, that assaulted inmate WINSTON.

DISPOSITION: Assessed 90 days loss of credits. Counseled and Reprimanded.

REFER TO: I.C.O. for confirmation of disposition. Inmate ACUNA was advised that he will receive a copy of the completed CDC-115 upon final audit by the Chief Disciplinary Officer. He was also advised of his right to and the procedure for appeal of this action.

REFERRED TO CLASSIFICATION SUBSTANAE	SIGNATURE [Signature]	DATE 8/20/90	TIME 1000
ACTION BY (TYPED NAME) S.M. S. [Signature]	SIGNATURE [Signature]	DATE 8/21/90	TIME 1000
REVIEWED BY (SIGNATURE) [Signature]	CHIEF DISCIPLINARY OFFICER'S SIGNATURE [Signature]	DATE 8/22/90	TIME 1000
COPIES OF CDC 115 GIVEN INMATE AFTER HEARING	BY (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) [Signature]	DATE 8/22/90	TIME 1000
CDC 115 (4/88)			



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## SERIOUS RULES VIOLATION

NUMBER C-43165 NAME ACUNA, A VIOLATED RULE NO. 3005(g) Force & Violence DATE 7-8-90 8-8-90

STAFF ASSISTANT ☐ NEEDED ☐ REQUESTED ☒ ASSIGNED: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ DATE 8-8-90 SIGNATURE [Signature]

NAME DEED, PER CAPTA 33.15 (2)

STAFF INVESTIGATOR: ☒ NEEDED ☐ REQUESTED ☒ WAIVED BY INMATE: SIGNATURE [Signature] DATE 8-8-90

ASSIGNED: T. HIGGINS DATE 8-8-90 ASSIGNMENT B-STATE ROOM 5M

## REQUEST FOR POSTPONEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY HEARING:

I, U/A request my hearing be postponed pending outcome of referral for Prosecution.

INMATE SIGNATURE AND DATE U/A DATE 8-8-90 Disposition N/A

Notice of outcome received U/A DATE 8-8-90 I, U/A revoke my request U/A for postponement.

## WITNESSES REQUESTED AT HEARING (IF NOT PRESENT, EXPLAIN IN FINDINGS)

☐ REPORTING EMPLOYEE ☒ STAFF ASSISTANT ☐ INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE ☐ OTHER ☒ NONE

WITNESSES (GIVE NAME AND NO./PSE)

GRANTED NOT GRANTED

GRANTED GRANTED

INVESTIGATIVE REPORT: Investigative employees must interview the inmate charged, the reporting employee, and other persons who have significant information, documenting the testimony of each person interviewed. Review of files, procedures, and other documentation must be completed.

In 8-8-90, at approximately 1320 hours, while assigned as an Investigative Employee for a CDC-115, I attempted to interview Inmate ACUNA, CDC # C-43165, cell A1230. I attempted to interview Inmate ACUNA, but he refused to be interviewed, and stated that he refuses all other Investigative Employees.

I am sorry to hear

Signature 8-8-90

Signature 8-11-90



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

CDC-128-A

ACUNA

C-43165

D6-204

On 05-3-96 at approximately 1055 hours I observed inmate ACUNA who was occupying Law Library study cell #32, slid what appeared to be a folded piece of paper to Inmate Grajeda, C90753 who was occupying the Law Library restroom. Both inmates were subsequently searched which resulted in no contraband being discovered. Inmate ACUNA was removed from the Law Library and returned to his assigned unit without further incident.

  
BEARD

SHU Law Library

Inmate ACUNA is to be denied physical access to the Law Library for a period of 45 days, from 5-4-96 through 6-17-96. This written notification will serve as notice to you and staff of the suspension period as authorized per DOM, Section 53060.10, abuse of Law Library. It should be noted that the Paging System as well as the copy procedures are still available to you.

  
ROBERT L. AYERS  
Chief Deputy Warden

Original : Central File  
cc : SHU Law Library  
Unit  
Inmate

DATE 05-3-96

PBSP / SHU

CUSTODIAN COUNSELING CHRG



NAME: ACUNA

NUMBER: C-43165

GOC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On August 18, 2000, at approximately 1315 hours, while working Unit IV-A 2/W, I observed inmate Acuna, C-43165, 4A107L, standing on the curb by the walk way ramp, next to the canteen. Numerous Hispanic inmates were approaching him, one by one, throughout the afternoon. Each Hispanic inmate would walk up to him and shake his hand respectfully with a slight bow, some would hand him paperwork that he would read and then hand it back, a few even gave him their canteen. Inmate Acuna was also flanked by two other Hispanic inmates like Sentries.

cc: Central File  
Inmate  
CCI

E. ESPARZA  
Correctional Officer  
Unit IV-A

DATE: 8/22/00

GENERAL CHERNO 4253



CIX 128 6-2 (5-57)

D 6 204

INMATE'S NAME

ARCANA, ARCADIO

DOC NUMBER

143165

On 21 Nov 90

a gang validation package regarding subject was received from Institution Gang Investigator A.H. B.H.

at Folsom

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW (3)

The following items meet the validation requirements:

Three (3) Confidential Informants

10-11-88, 9-20-89 and 8-31-90

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS (3)

The following items do not meet the validation requirements and were shall not be used as a basis for validation.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH DO NOT MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS (0)

GANG VALIDATION SECTION REVIEW

Date: 05 Oct 95

(CONTINUED ON REVERSE)

GENERAL CHRONO

## ACTION OF REVIEWER

Pursuant to the validation requirements established in 15 CCR Section 5578

ARCANA, ARCADIO

☒ VALIDATED ☐ REJECTED

as a member/associate of the

MEXICAN MARIJUANA

as a member/associate of the

REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE

ROBERT COBAUGH, LIEUTENANT

DATE:

05 Oct 95

## DISTRIBUTION:

- Original - Central File
- Copy - Classification & Parole Representative/Parole Administrator
- Copy - Institutional Gang Investigator/Region Gang Coordinator
- Copy - Law Enforcement Liaison Unit
- Copy - Inmate/Parolee





NAME and NUMBER

ACUNA, ARCADE, C-49165

APC-1288 (Rev. 4/77)

On November 19, 1990, I reviewed the central file of inmate ACUNA, ARCADE, C-49165, in regards to his current gang status. The file contains sufficient information to validate ACUNA as a associate of the "Mexican Mafia" (EM) prison gang. This is based on confidential reports dated 10-11-88, 9-20-89 and 8-31-90. Inmate ACUNA's behavior should be monitored and documented whenever gang association or activity is present. If and when additional information becomes available, inmate ACUNA's gang status will be re-evaluated.



DATE

11-19-90

(GANG STATUS UPDATED)

FOLSOM

GENERAL CITRONO



NAME: Acuna, Arcadio CDC: C43165

CDC 128-B (REV 4/74)

On Saturday, July 22, 2000, the Gangs Investigation Unit interviewed Inmate Acuna, Arcadio, C43165, in reference to his current gang status. Acuna arrived at CCI on July 20, 2000, from Pelican Bay State Prison as an inactive member of the Mexican Mafia (EME) Prison gang. The interview was in reference to his inactive status, and what was expected from him, and the inactive program that is being established at the California Correctional Institution.

Acuna was advised that it was the California Correctional Institutions desire to allow him to participate in a positive program. Acuna was advised, should he experience any problems with his program, he could contact the Gang Investigations or his assigned CaseWorker. Acuna was advised that the Gang Investigations would contact him Bi-Monthly for a personal interview and review of his central file. Acuna was reminded that should he choose to participate in gang activity, such participation would be documented and reviewed, and may be cause to remove him from the general population.

Acuna indicated that he understood the expectations, and that any documented Gang activity reflecting his association with the gangs could cause his removal from the general population. Acuna stated that he was adjusting well to his surroundings.

Acuna indicated that he has become familiar with the Law, and wanted to continue with his studies.

*F.B. Hawes*  
F.B. Hawes  
Corrections Lieutenant  
Institution Gang Investigator

(C.C.I. / Tehachap)

DATE: 07/22/2000

GANG INFORMATION / IGI REVIEW

CDC 128-B



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

**ACUNA, ARCADIO, SERRANO C-43165 AKA "GADIO"**

CDC 128-B (REV. 4/72)

On Monday, January 17, 2000, an investigation was initiated on inmate **ACUNA, C-43165** per the California code of Regulations, section 3378 (d) (e), regarding his (Active/In-Active) gang status.

**ACUNA** was validated on 11-29-90, as an associate of the Mexican Mafia prison gang.

The last source document showing activity is dated, **08-31-90**.

During the investigation the following areas were reviewed:

<b>CENTRAL FILE</b>	01/17/00	I. Dominici
<b>ARCHIVES</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>LEIU/INTELL OPS</b>	01-28-00	Agent D. Smith
<b>CAL GANGS</b>	01/17/00	Officer J. Sales
<b>WSIN</b>	01/17/00	Officer J. Sales
<b>PAROLES, LEADS</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT</b>	01/19/00	Deputy S. Chapman

A review of the above areas revealed no gang activity with the last six years.

A search of **ACUNA**'S assigned cell (D-6207L) proved negative for gang activity.

The Offender Based Information System (OBIS) indicated **ACUNA** has been in Pelican Bay State Prison since 01/19/91.

Based on the above information it is recommended **ACUNA, C-43165** be placed on In-Active status.

**ACUNA** was photographed and an In-Active package is being submitted to the Law Enforcement & Investigations Unit for approval.

*I. Dominici*  
 I. Dominici  
 I.S.U. Gang Investigator  
 In-Active Gang Task Force

Pelican Bay State Prison

DATE: 01/31/2000

GANG INFORMATION/IGI REVIEW / IN-ACTIVE STATUS

CDC 128-B



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC 128-B-2 (5/95)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

D6/2074

INMATE'S NAME: ACUNA, ARCADIO

CDC NUMBER: C43165

On 02/04/00 a gang validation package regarding subject was received from Institution Gang Investigator CRANDALL at PDSF.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW: (1)

The following items meet the validation requirements: 128B DATED 01/31/00.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS: (1)

The following items do not meet the validation requirements and were/shall not be used as a basis for validation: NONE.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH DO NOT MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS: (0)

Date: 02/07/00

GANG VALIDATION/REJECTION REVIEW

(CONTINUED ON REVERSE)

LEIU/SSU

GENERAL CHRONO

## ACTION OF REVIEWER

Pursuant to the validation requirements established in 15 CCR Section 3378, ACUNA, ARCADIO is:

☒ VALIDATED ☐ REJECTED

as a inactive associate of the MEXICAN MAFIA prison gang.

REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE

S.C. WOHLWEND, Senior Special Agent

DATE: 02/07/00

## DISTRIBUTION:

Original - Central File

Copy - Classification &amp; Parole Representative/Parole Administrator I

Copy - Institutional Gang Investigator/Region Gang Coordinator

Copy - Law Enforcement Liaison Unit

Copy - Inmate/Parolee

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
SERVICES

00 FEB 10 AM 8

RECEIVED



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CORRECTIONS  
CDC 128-B-2 (5/95)

DEPARTMENT OF

INMATE'S NAME: Acuna, Arcadio

CDC NUMBER: C45165

On February 4, 2000, a gang validation package regarding subject was received from Institution Gang Investigator Candall a PSP.  
SUBSEQUENT INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY SSU ON January 12, 2004, FROM GANG INVESTIGATOR Lt. Gordilazo AT CSP-LOS. (UPDATED ITEMS LISTED IN ITALICS).

The following items meet the validation requirements:  
00.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW: (3)  
Conf Memo dated 12-12-03, Conf Memo dated 9-23-03 and Conf Memo dated 9-21-

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS: (3)

The following items do not meet the validation requirements and were/shall not be used as a basis for validation.

TOTAL NUMBER OF ITEMS WHICH DO NOT MEET VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS: (0)

### ACTION OF REVIEWER

Pursuant to the validation requirements established in 15 CCR Section 3378, Arcadio Acuna is

☒ VALIDATED ☐ REJECTED

as a member of the Mexican Mafia prison gang.

Wm Luper  
Special Agent SSU

DATE: 1-20-04

GANG VALIDATION/REJECTION REVIEW  
GENERAL CHRONO  
LEIU/SSU

### DISTRIBUTION:

- Original - Central File
- Copy - Classification & Parole Representative/Parole Administrator I
- Copy - Institutional Gang Investigator/Region Gang Coordinator
- Copy - Law Enforcement Liaison Unit
- Copy - Inmate/Parolee



**ATTACHMENT 2**



NAME and NUMBER ACUNA, ARCADIO C-43165 D06-113 CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On May 26, 2006, I initiated the review of the Central Files of validated Mexican Mafia (EME) member "Cadio" Arcadio Acuna C-43165 (DOB: 10/26/1951) of Cucamonga. This review was based upon an understanding between the Attorney General's Office and Acuna's attorney, Mr. George Mavris, that there were possible due process errors (relating to confidential disclosure) associated with the revalidation of Acuna. Furthermore, the Judge has requested clarification regarding the validated status of Acuna, whether he should be validated as an EME member or as an EME associate. The Institutional Gang Investigations unit at Pelican Bay State Prison agreed to review the Central Files of Acuna to determine the appropriate status, and afford him an opportunity to address each item that may be considered for validation.

On June 2, 2006, Acuna was provided with copies of the CDC Forms 1030 disclosing each of the confidential memorandums listed in this report. He was also provided with a copy of the nonconfidential reports. Acuna was advised that he is scheduled for an interview on June 5, 2006, and informed of the purpose for the interview. There was no need to assign a Staff Assistant, as Acuna has a 12.9 grade point level, is fluent in English, and is not included in the Mental Health Services Delivery System.

On June 5, 2006, Sergeant D. Barneburg and I, as representatives of the Institution Gang Investigation Unit, interviewed Acuna regarding the following bulleted information sources. Acuna provided two handwritten response memorandums (attached, identified as Response A and Response B). Additional comments are noted with the reference to the source items listed below and on succeeding pages.

- ◆ Confidential Memorandum dated October 3, 2005 (Communication). This documents a letter from EME member "Tudy" Arthur Estrada V26050 of Cucamonga to "Spooky" Velia Mendoza W15593 (discharged) at 21957 Woodward Street, Perris, CA, 92507. Acuna is referenced as "the hometown Arcadio", and Estrada wrote that Acuna may have misled another individual a little. This does not appear to be a concern to Estrada, for he said after this "So really I'm not tripping." Staff information identified the misled individual as "Dean" Salvador Mendoza T84814 of Casa Blanca. Acuna was identified as training EME associates how to avoid becoming validated.

Acuna provided a handwritten response to this item (See item #1 on Response A). During the interview, Acuna reported that he has known Estrada and his family since Estrada was about three years old. Acuna claimed he has never corresponded with Estrada.

- ◆ Confidential Memorandum dated October 12, 2004 (communication). Notes were confiscated from Brent Ebert H78330 of Shermans at Calipatria State Prison. In one note, the author (identified as EME associate "Danny Boy" Daniel Sandigo K07935 of Upland) directs the recipient, "Anyway get at Cadio and let him know what's up with this vato and also tell Cadio to make sure that Spanky and Straño have a safe trip back here." "Spanky" has been identified as Henry Duenas P05117.

Acuna provided a handwritten response to this item (See item #2 on Response A). During the interview, Acuna stated he does not know Sandigo.

- ◆ Confidential CDC Form 128B dated August 10, 2004 (association). Acuna's name was in the address book of EME member "Turtle" Mark Quiroz C50887 of Colton. The book contained the names of over 130 EME members and associates. Both Acuna's name and CDC# were entered.

Acuna provided a handwritten response to this item (See item #4 on Response A). During the interview, Acuna stated he knows Quiroz from the SHU, but has not corresponded with him.

(continued on page 2)